UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,085	08/29/2006	Kathrin Redlin	2004P00313WOUS	7829
46726 BSH HOME A	7590 09/18/2007 PPLIANCES CORPORA	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	AL PROPERTY DEPAR	SUTTON, ANDREW W		
100 BOSCH B NEW BERN, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/591,085	REDLIN, KATHRIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew W. Sutton	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	August 2006.					
, _ , , 	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 May 2007 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/29/06</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Date				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/591,085

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dosal (US 3,165,244). Dosal teaches a frame 4;

an external inflatable body 6 including an external trunk and an external sleeve 6c with a distal end;

an internal inflatable body 8c supported by the frame 4 and disposed within the external inflatable body, the internal inflatable body including an internal trunk and an internal sleeve on the internal truck and having a distal end;

a connecting device 7c connected to the internal distal end of the internal inflatable body 8c and the distal end of the external sleeve 6d.

As to claim 11, the connecting device 7c is capable of being detached.

As to claim 12, Dosal illustrates the connected device 7C being at a distance of the centerline.

As to claim 13, Dosal illustrates the connecting device 7c being at a lower section of the internal and external sleeve below the centerline.

As to claim 14, Dosal illustrates a predetermined length between the internal distal end and the external distal end of the inflatable body and the connecting device substantially the same as the predetermined length.

As to claim 15, Dosal illustrates the connecting piece 7c being connected to the front end of the external sleeve 6c and internal sleeve 8c.

As to claim 16, Dosal states the connecting piece 7c is elastic (col. 2 lines 38).

As to claim 17, Dosal further illustrates the elastic cord (sleeve) around inner sleeve 8c.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dosal (US 3,165,244) in view of Paris (US 2,948,444). Dorsal illustrates the device above. Paris illustrates a pressing apparatus including a weight 40 disposed at the distal end of the sleeve. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Dosal and Paris to provide a smoother sleeve. It is inherent the cuff would be tensioned via the weight 40.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/591,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew W. Sutton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary L. Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AWS 9/13/07

GARY L. WELCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700